Case 2:23-cv-00875-DC-DMC	Document 33	Filed 04/04/25	Page 1 of 2
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10			
ADAM RANDOLPH POWELL,	No	o. 2:23-cv-00875-D	OC-DMC (PC)
Plaintiff,			
v.		ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION	
JEFF LYNCH, et al.,			
Defendants.	(D	Ooc. No. 32)	
Plaintiff Adam Randolph Powell is a state prisoner appearing <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma</i>			
8 pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred			
to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
On January 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations			
recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute			
this action and failure to comply with a court order. (Doc. No. 32.) Specifically, Plaintiff has			
failed to comply with the court's order dated November 8, 2024, in which Plaintiff's complaint			
4 was dismissed due to his failure to state a cognizable claim and Plaintiff was directed to file a first			
amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. No. 31.) Plaintiff did not thereafter file a first			
amended complaint. The pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and			
contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after			
service. (Doc. No. 32 at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have			
	1		
	UNIT FOR THE E. ADAM RANDOLPH POWELL, Plaintiff, V. JEFF LYNCH, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Adam Randolph Papauperis in this civil rights action be to a United States Magistrate Judge On January 16, 2025, the as recommending this action be dismit this action and failure to comply we failed to comply with the court's on was dismissed due to his failure to amended complaint within thirty data amended complaint. The pending for contained notice that any objection	UNITED STATES DISTR FOR THE EASTERN DISTR ADAM RANDOLPH POWELL, Plaintiff, v. JEFF LYNCH, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Adam Randolph Powell is a state pr pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U On January 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate recommending this action be dismissed, without prethis action and failure to comply with a court order. failed to comply with the court's order dated Novem was dismissed due to his failure to state a cognizable amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. No. 31 amended complaint. The pending findings and record contained notice that any objections thereto were to	ADAM RANDOLPH POWELL, Plaintiff, v. JEFF LYNCH, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Adam Randolph Powell is a state prisoner appearing pr pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(E On January 16, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findin recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaint this action and failure to comply with a court order. (Doc. No. 32.) Spec failed to comply with the court's order dated November 8, 2024, in which was dismissed due to his failure to state a cognizable claim and Plaintiff amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. No. 31.) Plaintiff did not the amended complaint. The pending findings and recommendations were se contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within four service. (Doc. No. 32 at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and re

Case 2:23-cv-00875-DC-DMC Document 33 Filed 04/04/25 Page 2 of 2 1 been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. 2 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 3 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 4 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 5 Accordingly: 6 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 16, 2025 (Doc. No. 32) are 7 ADOPTED in full; 2. This action is DISMISSED, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to 8 9 prosecute this action and failure to comply with a court order; and 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 10 11 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 Dated: **April 3, 2025** 14 Dena Coggins V 15 United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28